

Application No. 10/642,634  
Amdt. Dated May 19, 2005  
Reply to Office Action of May 11, 2005

**Remarks and Arguments**

Reconsideration is hereby requested. Applicant has small entity status.


The Examiner objects to Claims 1-12 because the language of "the concrete slab into which....said greater dimension xz plane tabs of said series...are wholly embedded...." has no antecedent basis in the specification.

The specification has been amended to include "wholly embedded" to correspond to Fig. 7. Examiner cites drawing figures 6-10 as showing the xz tabs wholly embedded in the concrete slab.

The Examiner has indicated allowability, if the specification were amended to include the above information. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the objection of Claims 1-12.

5-22-05  
Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,  
Lakdas Nanayakkara

  
By: Melvin K. Silverman  
Registration No. 26,234